NEW GARMENTS are chosen with as much care as wedding dresses. The wedding dress is an expression of the bride-to-be’s identity but some women invest their dress with almost magical qualities seeing the right choice as a talisman of their future happiness. It is a symbol of love and commitment and of the beginning of a new chapter in a woman’s life.

Wedding dresses also reflect the societies and cultures that created and preserved them and this sumptuous book charts on surviving wedding garments in the V&A’s collection, photographs, letters, memoirs, newspaper accounts and genealogical research to explore the history of the wedding dress and the traditions that have evolved around it from 1700 to the present day. It focuses on the white wedding dress which became fashionable in the early nineteenth century and is worn today by women across the world. The book considers the way couturiers and designers have challenged and refreshed the traditional white wedding dress and the influence of the wedding industry, whose antecedents lie in the commercialization of the wedding in Victorian Britain.

The Wedding Dress is not only about costume, but also about the cultivation of the image of the bride. This book is a tribute to an exquisite, stylish, glamorous gown, the romance of its evolution and the place it occupies in design.
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tigious and fashionable bridal colours until white became the colour of choice in the early nineteenth century. As the dominant religion in Britain and France (whose bridal fashions influenced those worn in Britain for most of the period the book covers), Christianity’s association of white with innocence and purity was an important symbolic factor. Even today, in Britain’s increasingly secular society, the white wedding dress has lingering connotations of virginity. White garments were associated with spiritual rites of passage long before they became conventional for bridal wear. Babies have been dressed in white robes for the sacrament of baptism when they are initiated into the Christian faith since the eighteenth century. The choice of white garments may be linked to the pre-Reformation use of the white chrisom-cloth, which was placed on the baby’s head or wrapped around its body after baptism and symbolized its innocence. Children who died within a month of baptism were buried in the chrisom-cloth, and white
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1 Margaret Whigham and Charles Sweeny, 1933. Darlings of the gossip columns, the glamorous couple brought traffic to a standstill when they married at London’s Brompton Oratory. As Duchess of Argyll, Whigham would later be the subject of a notorious divorce case. © National Portrait Gallery, London.

Margaret Whigham and Charles Sweeny, 1933. Darlings of the gossip columns, the glamorous couple brought traffic to a standstill when they married at London’s Brompton Oratory. As Duchess of Argyll, Whigham would later be the subject of a notorious divorce case. © National Portrait Gallery, London.
izes their commitment to marriage but also fulfills an emotional need, making them feel like a bride embarking on a new phase of their life. Women who have worn white for their weddings also wear white wedding dresses.

The commercialization of weddings, particularly in the Middle East and East Asia, and the globalization of fashion has fuelled this trend. In Christian communities the religious associations of the white wedding dress remain important, but for women of other faiths the white wedding dress today is a symbol of wealth, status, modernity and romantic love.

Weddings and wedding dresses remain a perennial cultural interest. Every year newspapers and magazines feature articles about weddings. They frequently cite the latest statistics about marriage in modern Britain. According to the Office for National Statistics the provisional number of marriages registered in England and Wales in 2008 was 252,990. (When the final figure is known this is expected to rise by up to 1%) This is the lowest marriage rate since it was first calculated in 1962. However the divorce rate is at its lowest rate since 1979, suggesting that those who choose to marry are more committed to making it work. For women who decide to marry in church rather than in a registry office, wearing white symbolizes their commitment to marriage but also fulfills an emotional need, making them feel like a bride embarking on a new phase of their life. Women who have worn white for their weddings talk about its romantic, fairy-tale appeal and talismanic qualities, but above all of how it miraculously transformed them into a bride. In the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the white wedding dress was the preserve of well-to-do women in Europe and the USA, but today it is worn by brides of many faiths across the world to celebrate their marriages (pls 10–15). The commercialization of weddings, particularly in the Middle East and East Asia, and the globalization of fashion has fuelled this trend. In Christian communities the religious associations of the white wedding dress remain important, but for women of other faiths the white wedding dress today is a symbol of wealth, status, modernity and romantic love.
prettiest silk I ever saw—and richly trimm’d with silver, festooned and betassel’d’. Silks that incor-
porated metal threads were prized for the beautiful effects created by light reflecting from their textured
surfaces. They were expensive and only the wealth-
liest could afford them and had occasion to wear them. The royal adoption of silver, and white and
silver, for weddings along with its high cost undoubt-
edly gave the colour combination additional kudos.
Commissioning expensive new clothes for court was
understood as a mark of respect and allegiance to the
crown, and it is likely that women who followed
royal precedent and wore white and silver for their
presentation as brides did so in the same spirit.

The V&A has two eighteenth-century dresses
associated with weddings which are appropriate for
wearing at court. One is connected to the marriage
of Isabella (b.1716) daughter of William Courtenay,
5th Earl of Devon, who married Dr John Andrew
(1711–72) at Exeter Cathedral on 14 May 1744. It is
lavishly embroidered with polychrome silks and
metal threads, and was undoubtedly prepared for
the bride’s presentation at court after her marriage.

The other, which dates to the late 1770s, is made of
pure white silk satin (pl.23). The trained sack-back
gown has been skilfully constructed to fit over the
wide side hoop that was required for attendance at
court and the most formal evening dress, making it
suitable for a private evening wedding ceremony
with high-status guests and for the bride’s presenta-
tion at court. It is impossible to verify the dress’s
provenance but its formality, quality and colour
would have made it appropriate for either of these
occasions, though it is more likely to have been worn
at court. The gown is decorated with undulating
chains of large and small puffs of satin, made from

23 Silk satin court dress
(front and back), British, 1779–80. This dress was
associated by the donor with a wedding. It may have been
worn for a very formal private evening ceremony but it is
more likely that it was worn for the bride’s presentation at
court following her marriage.

V&A: T.2&A–1947
Unlike nineteenth-century bridal wear worn for church weddings, the fabric, cut, construction and decoration of fashionable wedding dresses in the interwar period followed evening styles rather than daywear. Wedding dresses in shades of white and cream remained popular, but there were alternatives to these colours.

The shift towards evening wear was gradual. It started in the Edwardian period and became more pronounced after the First World War, as daywear became more practical, informal and androgynous. In the 1920s metallic lamé and lace, pale gold and shell pink fabrics were fashionable for bridal and evening wear, giving wedding dresses added glamour. The classic white satin wedding dress returned to favour in the uncertain years of the Depression in the early 1930s, but in the second half of the decade pastel colours were an alternative to white. By 1934 the hours regulating when marriages could take place in church were extended from 3pm until 6pm and the opportunity to move on from the wedding to an evening reception may have encouraged a more open-minded approach to what colour a wedding dress should be.

Brides were guided in their choice by morality, religious sentiment, personal taste, budget and the strength of their engagement with fashion.

Film became an important influence on wedding styles. The introduction of commercially viable films in the mid-1890s and of picture houses in the early twentieth century was one of the most important technological developments in the first half of the twentieth century. Film enabled people to see with astonishing immediacy fashionable events that they had only read about or seen in still images in the past. It introduced a new way of exchanging information, a medium for entertainment and an alternative to photography for recording celebrity and newsworthy events such as weddings.

WEDDINGS IN THE FIRST WORLD WAR
A 1914 wedding dress (pl.79) is a good example of the influence of evening wear on bridal fashions at the start of the First World War (1914–18). Phyllis Bliszek (b.1890) married Bertie Mayer Stone at the Baywater Synagogue near London’s Hyde Park on 9 September, a month after the outbreak of the war. She chose a tunic-shaped, ankle-length dress with a V-shaped back and neckline and short sleeves. Its slightly raised waistline is marked by a broad white satin sash which extends to the hips and a satin...
“Splurge on an expensive outfit … Engrave yourself on the memories of those gathered together.” For a grand wedding they suggested Edward Molyneux’s white satin bustle dress with a ruffle collar – ‘the whole thing looking as if it might have been laced out of your grandmother’s brass-bound cellar chest’ – or an ‘eye-turning’ pale, smoke-blue satin dress by Chicago-born designer Mainbocher (Main Rousseau Bocher, 1890–1970), worn with long blue gloves and bluebird pins to hold the veil in place. ‘Hereditary red-heads’ were urged to ‘dramatize it [their hair colour] with a grey wedding, and move down to the altar in a mouse-chiffon dress of the palest grey’, or, ‘if the Directoire era has your fancy … a slim dress of white crepe, its skirt rapière-pleated, with Greek scrolls of embroidery at the top.’ The article drew attention to the fashionable use of colours other than white for weddings and highlights the growing emphasis on choice in fashion. In 1935 Norman Hartnell had designed a very pale pearl-pink satin dress for the marriage of Lady Alice Montagu-Douglas-Scott (1911–2004) to Prince Henry, Duke of Gloucester (1900–74), which encouraged brides to think of wearing pink. Wallis Simpson’s (1896–1986) light-blue dress, designed by Mainbocher for her third marriage, to the Duke of Windsor (1894–1972) in April 1937, also spawned copies and, as the article in *Vogue* revealed, other blue dresses.

**SECOND WORLD WAR BRIDES**

A sketch of a bridal outfit from the House of Paquin dating to 1939–40 encapsulates the femininity and coquettishness of fashions at the end of the 1930s (pl. 99). Its leg-of-mutton sleeves, pie-crust frills, pinched waist and flaring basque, topped by a seductively tilted hat, conjure up the theatrical glamour that typified the 1930s. In September 1939 Britain declared war on Germany and with the onset of the blitz the following September, when British cities were bombed by day and night, the war became a bitter reality for the civilian population. While magazines and even the government suggested that keeping up a smart appearance could be important for morale, most women were more concerned with meeting their family’s basic clothing needs.
In June 1938, Monica Maurice (1908–95) married Dr. Arthur Newton Jackson in a quiet ceremony at the Chapel of Our Lady on Rotherham Bridge in South Yorkshire. Monica Maurice was an independent, unconventional woman who in 1938 became the first— and until 1978 only—woman member of the Association of Mining Electrical Engineers. She had a passion for racing cars and flying, and loved clothes. Red was one of her favourite colours, making her choice of a ruby-coloured silk gauze mid-calf dress for her wedding quite personal (pl. 104). With its contrasting deep-blue belt and buttons, and worn over a matching red artificial silk slip, it was a feminine and fashionable dress perfectly suited to her petite frame. She wore a short shoulder-length veil and flowered wreath.

Rachel Ginsburg (1923–2010) also chose a wedding outfit in red. She wore a tailored wool skirt-suit when she married Walter Foster, a fellow student at the London School of Economics, at Bredenbury Synagogue in London, on 4 January 1949 (pl. 105). Resources remained low after the Second World War, making new clothes difficult to acquire. The bride found this suit with the help of her aunt in the Bon Marché department store in Liverpool, where her family lived. Although the original designer is unknown, the extremely fashionable outfit was probably a mock from a British couture or high-quality ready-to-wear house. The deep, flared peplum and ruffled-in waist of the jacket reflect the “New Look” popularized by Parisian couturier Christian Dior in 1947. As clothing rationing, introduced in June 1941, would not end until March 1949, the bride’s fellow students donated clothing coupons to support the £22 purchase. Although expensive at a time when a female insurance clerk in Liverpool earned £2.30–£4.70 a week, its fashionable cut and good-quality fabrics would have remained smart for several years, and the jacket and skirt could be worn separately with different garments. While Rachel’s mother felt a vivid red suit was a little daring for a bride to wear to a traditionally modest synagogue ceremony, her father and fiancé both approved.

Both these wedding outfits offer a surprising contrast with the popular contemporary image of the bride as a young woman in virginal white, which was widely disseminated in the media and through Hollywood films. While there was a vogue in the 1920s and 1930s for delicately coloured bridal gowns, stronger colours still made a bold statement. For the bride conditioned to think in terms of the traditional Western white-wedding, red is one of the most daring alternatives. But for many non-Western cultures, it is a traditional colour for wedding garments. Red is often worn by Hindu and Muslim brides, and is also favoured by Chinese and Vietnamese brides for whom it represents good luck.

Daniel Milford-Cottam

TO WED IN RED


Britain was well-known for its high-quality ready-to-wear companies which employed well-trained in-house designers and copied models purchased from couture houses in Paris and London. Ready-to-wear wedding outfits were available from department stores, the salons of bridal wear companies and bridal boutiques, all of which offered specialist advice, fitters and an alteration service. Some sold models that could be made to the client’s measurements alongside off-the-peg styles. Bridal wear companies included Muriel — at the top end of the market, with a salon in Cavendish Place in central London and sold through ‘exclusive’ outlets throughout the country — and Rockiff & Chapman, who offered more accessible priced dresses made from man-made fibres. Versatility remained important as Britain inched towards economic recovery and most wedding dresses were evening styles which could be worn afterwards, sold with a matching bolero or jacket to cover the décolletage and arms during the wedding ceremony.

Horrockses Fashions employed talented young designers to create fashionable, high-quality garments from its parent company’s cotton. They made a limited range of bridal dresses and in 1953 Vogue featured a white piqué Horrockses dress which they recommended for brides on a budget.

Throughout the 1950s the Cotton Board’s Colour, Design and Style Centre worked with leading ready-to-wear manufacturers and London’s couturiers to promote the use of cotton in high fashion. Some fashion shows benefited from the occasional involvement of ‘celebrity’ mannequins who took part because the show featured couture. In 1953 Myrtle Crawford modelled a wedding dress designed by Hardy Amies made of white cotton organdy and poplin (pl.11). Designers had used cotton for evening resort wear in the 1930s, but its promotion as a fashionable fabric for weddings was a post-war development.

Women with access to television sets could watch the Cotton Board’s fashion shows on television from 1951. By 1955 a television service was available to 94% of the British population, and within nine years television ownership was reaching saturation point. Television was a major addition to the existing methods of disseminating information. Fashion magazines of course remained an important medium for brides-to-be looking for ideas, and most devoted a few pages to bridal wear in their February or April issues. Woman’s Journal, which had a broad middle-class readership, offered a wedding dress pattern created by a British or French designer each year. The pattern could be cut by hand to the measurements of the wearer for a gowns (£4 1s) or purchased as a standard pattern for 7s 6d. In 1955 women bank workers earned an annual salary of about £492 at sixteen years-old rising to £555 at twenty-one, with a ceiling of £452 at thirty-one. This suggests that the standard dress pattern was easily affordable for a young woman in a good job.

The principal cost of a dress lay in its fabric. Most of the fabrics recommended for the patterns were man-made and some patterns promoted particular manufacturers. In 1956 John Cavanagh (1914–2003), a talented Irish couturier who had worked for Molyneux and Pierre Balmain (1914–82) before set-
were a Bellville Sassoon speciality and by the early 1960s they accounted for at least a quarter of their business. In 1961 they were invited to design ready-to-wear bridal collections for Woodlands, a Knightsbridge department store that was being transformed into London’s leading retailer of avant-garde British fashion. The same issue of *Brides* featured an organza headdress by James Wedge (b.1939), who had studied at Walthamstow Art College and designed hats for Mary Quant, and a gold skullcap by Gavin Waddell, a graduate of Saint Martin’s School of Art, who had his own label.

When the jeweller Wendy Ramshaw (b.1939) married David Watkins (b.1940) at Christ Church in Sunderland on 12 August 1962, she wore a short pearl-studded veil trimmed with white artificial roses and ribbons, with a short dress. The bride, a graduate of Newcastle College of Art and Industrial Design, met her future husband at a party when she was studying for an Art Teacher’s Diploma at Reading University. Both became internationally acclaimed jewellers. The dresses Ramshaw designed for herself and her two college-friend bridesmaids were influenced by the styles worn by the French actress and sex symbol Brigitte Bardot (b.1934). Bardot had married Jacques Charrier in 1959 in a short, golden, pink and white check dress designed by couturier Jacques Esterel (1918-74). Wendy Ramshaw chose a form white fabric with a satin stripe which was made up by a local dressmaker and trimmed with pleated white satin (p.115). David Watkins wore a dark lounge suit – a popular alternative to the traditional morning suit. The sketch of the dresses, whose design she modified after she chose the mate-


122 Lulu and Maurice Gibb
18 February 1969. Lulu’s wedding coat was trimmed with mink.

of designers including Lanvin, Giambattista Valli, Marchesa and Alberta Ferretti to enter the bridal market with capsule collections. London’s Savile Row tailors are also more overtly staking a claim to the bridal market. Richard James (b.1953) contributed a short film on his firm’s approach to dressing the bridegroom for a CD-Rom offered with Brides magazine. It emphasized their approachability, personal service and consideration for the bride’s choices as well as those of the groom.20

In May 2009 the average amount spent on a wedding dress at Brown’s Bridal, which sells high-quality designer dresses, was £6000 and bridal sales at Temperley London, which was founded by the designer Alice Temperley (b.1975) in 2000 and specialises in very feminine embroidered and beaded dresses, were 59% higher than the previous year. In October 2009, the couturier Bruce Oldfield opened a bridal boutique to supplement his ready-to-wear and couture boutique and the following spring, Net-A-Porter successfully launched its online bridal boutique. These spending patterns run counter to overall trends in the fashion industry and support the suggestion, mooted in the recession in the early 1990s, that the bridal industry offers designers some stability in a volatile market.21 Brides-to-be benefited from far greater choice and from reduced prices, which were driven down by the increasingly competitive market.22

In 2010, two hundred years after the fashion media began to promote white as the most fashionable colour for a bridal gown, many women across the world dreamed of wearing a white dress for their wedding. In doing so, they willingly became part of a tradition which celebrates romantic love and the fairy-tale beauty of the bride, while being rooted in the materialistic world of commerce. In spite of widespread scepticism, changing moral attitudes and women’s increasing independence, the demand for the traditional white wedding dress remains buoyant.23
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